UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,247	05/04/2007	Alfred Rieder	RIED3010/FJD	1652
23364 BACON & THO	7590 05/29/200 OMAS, PLLC	EXAMINER		
625 SLATERS FOURTH FLO	LANE	PATEL, HARSHAD R		
ALEXANDRIA	=		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2855	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/580,247	RIEDER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	HARSHAD PATEL	2855			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	,				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
dissect in assertations with the practice and in	x parte quayre, 1000 0.D. 11, 10	0.0.2.0.			
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 11-20 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 11 and 17-19 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 12-16 and 20 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
<ul> <li>9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 22 May 2008 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/22/06.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/580,247 Page 2

Art Unit: 2855

## **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

# **Specification**

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

# Claim Objections

3. Claims 11-20 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims use language, such as "especially", "and/or", "preferably", "at least sectionally", "during operation", "essentially", etc. such terms should be avoided for the proper and clear understanding of the claim language. Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. Claim 17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claim 17 recites the limitation "said at least two leaf springs" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/580,247 Page 3

Art Unit: 2855

7. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Endo et al.

(5,691,485) (hereinafter Endo).

Endo teaches a Coriolis type flow measuring device including all the features of the instant invention including at least one measuring tube (4) having a first and second tube segment at the upstream and downstream ends, respectively, a support element (1) for holding the measuring tube having a first and second end pieces each containing a passageway. A leaf spring (12a1, 12a2, 12b1, 12b2) placed in the passageway between the measuring tube and the support element at the first and second tube segment.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Endo. Endo teaches all the features of the instant invention except for the specific shape and clamping apparatus. It would be within the scope of a skilled individual to use any shape of the leaf spring in order to be accommodated within the space provided between the two structures of the pipe. Moreover, one having ordinary skill in the art would obviously provide a locking structure in order to lock the leaf spring or the pipe from being accidentally removed from the housing structure.

Application/Control Number: 10/580,247 Page 4

Art Unit: 2855

### Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 12-17 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior arts made available do not teach or fairly suggest, alone or in combination, do not

teach the spring element embodied as a spring packet composed of two or more leaf springs or a

layer of vibration-damping plastic provided between two leaf springs.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HARSHAD PATEL whose telephone number is (571)272-2187. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (6:30 AM-5:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Harshad Patel/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2855

HP 5/27/08